

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INV	A	ITORNEY DOCKET NO.		
	00700750	PICICHA		В	2/951-00023	
	D GILCHRIST	LM21/0112	\neg	EXAMINER THEANG, E		
3200 FOUNT 1445 ROSS				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	75202-2799			2781	7	
				DATE MAILED:	01/12/98	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

08/646,484 Applicar

Applicant(s)

Moon, Billy G.

Advisory Action

Examiner

Eric S. Thlang

Group Art Unit 2781



TH	E F	PERI	OD F	OR RESPO	NSE: [cl	eck only	a) or b)]							
	a)		expir	es	month	s from the	mailing date	of the final r	ejection.					
	b)	X	expir is lat rejec	er. In no ev	ee months ent, howe	from the n	nailing date statutory p	of the final re eriod for the	jection, or o response ex	on the maili xpire later tl	ng date of han six mo	this Advisory	Action, whichever added of the final	
	dat det	te on termi	whicl ining t	h the respon he period of	se, the pet extension	ition, and t and the co	he fee have rresponding	under 37 CF been filed is amount of th tutory period	the date of e fee. Any	the responsextension to	se and also fee pursuar	the date for nt to 37 CFR	propriate fee. The the purposes of 1.17 will be	
	Ap pe	pella riod	ant's for re	Brief is du esponse se	e two mo t forth at	onths fron ove, whi	n the date chever is l	of the Noti ater). See	ce of App 37 CFR 1.	eal filed o .191(d) ar	n nd 37 CFI	R 1.192(a).	(or within any	
								Oct 16, 19 on for allow		s been cor	nsidered v	with the fol	lowing effect,	
X	Th	e proposed amendment(s):												
		will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.												
	X	wil	ll not	be entered	d because):								
		X	they	raise new	issues th	at would	require fur	rther consid	eration ar	nd/or sear	ch. (See	note below	·).	
			they	raise the is	ssue of n	ew matte	r. (See no	te below).						
				are not de s for appe		place the	application	n in better f	orm for a	ppeal by r	naterially	reducing o	r simplifying the	
			they	present ac	Iditional d	laims wit	hout canc	elling a corr	esponding	number :	of finally	rejected cla	aims.	
		NOT											d half duplex	_
				<u>mode", wl</u>	nich requi	re turtnei	<u>searcn.</u>	 -	 -					_
		A p	plicar	nt's respon	se has o			ng rejectior						_
	Ne se	ewly para	prop	osed or an	nended c amendme	laims	lling the no	on-allowable	e claims.	w	ould be a	illowable if	submitted in a	_
				it, exhibit o		t for reco	nsideratior	n has been	considered	d but does	NOT pla	ce the app	lication in conditio	n —
				it or exhibi er in the fii			sidered bed	cause it is n	ot directe	d SOLELY	to issues	s which we	ere newly raised by	_ '
oxtimes For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanati							nation, if ar	ıy):						
	Cla	aims	allov	wed:				-						_
	Cla	aims	obje	cted to:										_
	Cla	aims	rejed	cted: <u>11-2</u>	1									_
	Th	e pr	opos	ed drawing	correction	on filed o	n	·	_	□has r	not been	approved b	y the Examiner.	
	No	ote ti	he at	tached Info	ormation	Disclosure	e Statemer	nt(s), PTO-	449, Pap	er No(s).		······································		
		her										AYAZ I ERVISORY I	SULL R. SHEIKH PATENT EXAMINER	

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Response to Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed November 3, 1997 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks a petition requesting consideration of the information disclosure statement. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

EST January 6, 1998 AYAZ R. SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 2700